

This is Not the Online Learning You (or We) are Looking For

Alan Levine

Editor's Note

This was originally posted to [Alan Levine's blog \[https://edtechbooks.org/-UNK\]](https://edtechbooks.org/-UNK) on September 5, 2017.

Yes, I am no Obi Wan Kenobi, have no Jedi mind tricks, and am completely mangling [the classic Star Wars line \[https://edtechbooks.org/-etG\]](https://edtechbooks.org/-etG). But I like it.



In the notification letter I received last month for the institution I am adjunct teaching for (remotely) this semester, there was an offer to complete a New Faculty Orientation that was

EdTech in the Wild


delivered online. That's considerate of my case of not being able to come to campus. There was even a \$75 incentive, which to me is a nice touch.

I've flipped and flopped and flooped about writing about this experience, which was rather dismal, as it is my employer. But my educator conscience is deeply bothered.

So this online orientation was delivered through the LMS, Blackboard Learn. While I may have a reflex to bash the LMS, I've not seen the inside of one in a long time, and maybe never as a student.


While in total [critical agreement with Sean Michael Morris \[https://edtechbooks.org/-ViA\]](https://edtechbooks.org/-ViA), I'd say the design of such systems has a significant impact on the courses it pops out, somewhere behind all this is a person, an educator, maybe an instructional designer making choices about how to assemble them. And if they are good, they ought to be able to do some creative end arounds within the arcane structures there.

Again, my main complaint is not the dreaded LMS, but when I see this screen (it was a browser tab I left open and came back a day later), I have to scream that there is absolutely no excuse for a software, especially one that has been around this long, to present to a learner a red bannered ACCESS DENIED screen.



This is abhorrent, repulsive, and wrong on every front. Most modern web application systems like my bank, my insurance company, present warnings when my session is about time out, and if it does, will leave a human message, like

EdTech in the Wild



A humanly worded warning from my bank. Why can't an LMS do this?

If I do not do anything, it lets me know "You've been signed out."

There is a huge difference between that and ACCESS DENIED. Huge. [I'm a human being damnit, my life has value \[https://edtechbooks.org/-DoH\]](https://edtechbooks.org/-DoH).

Blackboard has been around about since the time my students were born, and they cannot do better human experience design than ACCESS DENIED?

I do not know if these screens are built into Blackboard or if it is the way it is locally set up, but this is wrong, vile on every level. What is a student new to online learning mean to feel upon seeing such a message?

But that's easy pickings for criticism.

What's equally bad is the "design" of this course (air quotes intentional).

Despite adding data tracking and more quiz tools, this Blackboard course I saw tells me the basic structure of the system has not evolved at all since I first saw it in the late 1990s.



Pixabay image by EsaRuitta shared into the public domain using Creative Commons CC0

It's folders of folders of [folders of...] of documents all the way down.

Each course is a storage device (easily disposed of), inside you find folders for units, modules, and inside a recursive directory structure of more content files. The course I saw had 10 folders or units, in each one was a page with a list of objectives, a content folder that contained only an index of links to Word documents, Powerpoint files, external web links. Then there was an "assessment" a multiple choice quiz.

This course was set up that I could not proceed to say unit 2 until I passed the quiz does unit 1.

Let me break down few things.

I Don't Mind Objectives But...

I fully accept that Objectives are useful when designing a course, like a sticky note that keeps you focused on how you design it. As a learner, I almost never look at them. First of all, they are not my objectives. They are the objectives of the course for me. Does the course care what I want to learn? Nope.

EdTech in the Wild

My objectives in taking a new faculty orientation might be:

- To learn more about the history, culture of the institution.
- To understand the students who attend it.
- To know resources, people who can support me as a teacher.
- To know other resources/departments I may need to use.

My objective is to be a great teacher and for my students to do exceptional work.

But the objectives of this New Faculty Orientation are really to tell me the names, locations, services of various administrative offices, to inform of policies. The opening unit did have some suggestions, quasi messages about teaching. The nine others had almost nothing to do with pedagogy.

It's Lonely Out in Online Learning Space

This course had no voice, no character, no personality. And it treated me the same, there was nothing that acted like it was a person talking to me. It was really "Here are objectives, a pile of content, and you must pass the quizzes to proceed." This is brutal, content-centric, non-human, un-empathetic design.

There was nothing to connect the disparate pieces of content, to weave connections.

And mostly, there was no social/presence of others. I was drifting alone in online learning space.

The opening unit was the only one I actually even saw humans. It was a one hour video of a provost welcoming new faculty at an in person orientation. (I should add, that the intro to the unit said estimated completion time as 20-30 minutes yet the content was an hour long video. Do the math).

This is huge design flaw in online instruction, that a video of what is done in person would be effective to an online learner (I should add the video quality was such that I could barely hear the people in the audience).

Meaningless, Inane Assessments

If you really want me to feel a sense of accomplishment in a course, for *****'s sake do not build it as a set of multiple choice questions that I can pass merely by analyzing the responses.

Frankly after sifting through that first unit, seeing that there were 9 more, I was ready to say fugggedaboudddit.

Then I had an idea. The end of unit "assessments" (which I will keep putting air quotes


EdTech in the Wild

around) were 4-8 multiple choice questions. You had to pass them to move on to the next unit- by the way I find this lock step approach an insult to my intelligence, it's a method right out of the ways prisons operate.

When you submitted a quiz, it told you what you got right and wrong, and offers the chance to retake the quiz.

That's where my light bulb went BING. **I would do what any student would do.** Given this brutal instructional treatment, my objective now is to jump through the system hoops as quickly as possible to get back to doing something worthwhile.

I decided to skip all the unit content, and go directly to the quizzes. When I got my results, I wrote down on a piece of paper the correct answers, and retook the quiz.



In this way, I completed the entire course in about 75 minutes, while I ate dinner. I have always been skilled at multiple choice tests (is there a job where I can use this skill?), and in fact, without reviewing any content, I passed on the first time through the units on Instructional Computing, Ethics, and Affirmative Action.

EdTech in the Wild

These are the types of questions I could answer simply by discarding the obvious wrong ones or just applying logic.

QUESTION

The goal of the student conduct process at is to punish students for breaking the law

- True
- False

QUESTION

Adjuncts are permitted to accept gifts related to his/her official job duties.

- True
- False

QUESTION

Who can I call to access my Email account?

- a. The Office of Computer and Information Services
- b. The Office of Human Resources
- c. The Cafeteria
- d. The Athletics Department

These are obvious (well to me) terrible assessment questions. When I see these kinds of questions, it signals to me “This is a game” that they don’t really care about what I have learned, they care about looking like they care about what I have learned. This assesses absolutely nothing.

When I lose respect for your “assessment”, I lose respect for your content, and your objectives. It’s not only disposable, this is completely worthless no matter how many charts and graphs you can generate from its data.

Fin

In the end, none of my objectives for this faculty orientation were met. And the fact I can pass the “assessment” without seeing a shred of content should signify the designers' objectives are not met either. My objective became “how can I pass this thing as effortlessly and quickly as possible.”

I have no idea how widespread this style of course design is in higher education. It scares me to even wonder. This is not totally the fault of the LMS, a person or group of persons made these design decisions and put them into place.

The answer is not in the technology, it’s PEBKAC.

And now I have to wonder if the Storm Troopers are coming after me...


Suggested Citation

Levine, A. (2019). This is Not the Online Learning You (or We) are Looking For. In R. Kimmons, *EdTech in the Wild: critical blog posts*. EdTech Books. Retrieved from https://edtechbooks.org/wild/not_online_learning

Chapter Copyright Notice



CC BY: This chapter is released under a CC BY license, which means that you are free to do with it as you please as long as you properly attribute it.



Kimmons, R. (2019). *EdTech in the Wild: critical blog posts*. EdTech Books.
Retrieved from <https://edtechbooks.org/wild>



CC BY: This book is released under a CC BY license, which means that you are free to do with it as you please as long as you properly attribute it.

